

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5339

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,793	10/01/2003	Hideyuki Oki	108426-00042	3672
7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER		
ARENT FOX	KINTNER PLOTKIN	CYGAN, MICHAEL T		
Suite 400				

28

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ale

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/674,7	93	OKI ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Michael (2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ F	I)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 September 2004</u> .							
2a)⊠ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌 💲	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)区(6)区(7)区(4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-12,14-16,23,24 and 26-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,11,12,14,15,23,24,26 and 27 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-8,16 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s	s)							
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/674,793

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

1. Claims 6-8, 16, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cook (US 6,343,505) in view of Mastandrea (US 4,852,054). Cook teaches an apparatus for determining leakage in an evaporated fuel system, comprising a sensor [74] for measuring atmospheric and system pressures, a control unit [16] which detects an engine stop (see abstract), close the fuel system (column 8 lines 28+), and determine leakage from the measured pressure values by monitoring a change in the corrected pressure and determining if the change is less than the determination value (column 5 lines 1-19). Such correction of the system pressure is provided by the differential pressure sensor arrangement, and inherently lowers the pressure as the atmospheric pressure decreases. The method of and means

Application/Control Number: 10/674,793 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

for performing the above steps is also taught. See entire document, especially columns 5-8.

Cook teaches the claimed invention except for the use of two separate system and atmospheric pressure sensors. Mastandrea teaches the use of differential or separate sensors for measuring system and atmospheric pressure in an apparatus for determining leakage in a fuel system; see column 15 lines 6-36. It would have been obvious to use two separate system and atmospheric pressure sensors as taught by Mastandrea in the invention taught by Cook to measure and correct for atmospheric pressure, since Mastandrea teaches their equivalency and notes the high accuracy of the two-sensor system.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 11, 12, 14, 15, 23, 24, 26, and 27 are allowed
- 3. Claims 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 14 September 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The use of the ambient pressure measurement taught by Mastandrea to correct the tank pressure value meets the "coefficient" of pressure of claims 6-8, 16, and 28, when "coefficient" is given the meaning set forth in pages 9-10 of applicant's instant specification.

Page 4

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2175. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,793 Page 5

Art Unit: 2855

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL CYGAN PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER